



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/566,733

02/02/2006

Akira Maenishi

L7002.06101

9734

52989

7590

09/08/2011

James Edward Ledbetter  
1875 Eye Street  
Suite 1200  
Washington, DC 20006

EXAMINER

AKRAM, IMRAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1723

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/08/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/566,733	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAENISHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> IMRAN AKRAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 1723	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Imran Akram. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Jordan. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 September 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐101    ☐112    ☒102    ☐103    ☐Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Komiya (US 2002/0042035 A1).

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The amendment filed 8/11/11 was discussed. Examiner took the position that two of the features of the claim amendment (the burner and the third tubular wall) do not obviate the anticipation rejection as the Komiya reference discloses a burner (18) and a third tubular wall (14). Komiya may or may not discloses the newly claimed width equalizing means, but the broad wording of the claim languages suggests that the element (81) of Komiya may read on those means or that a secondary reference could be combined with Komiya to include this feature, though these points require additional search. Applicant was suggested to more clearly define the structural nature of the wall elements in order to obviate the rejection.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Imran Akram/ Examiner, Art Unit 1723	
------------------------------------------	--